COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

5

6 7

8

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23

25

26 27

27

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an action for: (1) Breach of contract; (2) Breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing; (3) Conversion; (4) Fraud and Deceit; (5) Fraudulent Inducement; and (6) for an Accounting.

THE PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Donald F. Waitt is an individual and a citizen of Florida and resides in Clearwater, Florida.
- 3. Plaintiff Tyler A. Waitt is an individual and a citizen of Florida and resides in Oldsmar, Florida.
- 4. Defendant Internet Brands, Inc. ("Defendant") is a Delaware corporation having its principal place of business at 909 North Sepulveda Boulevard, El Segundo, California 90245-2727.
- 5. Plaintiffs are presently unaware of the true names and identities of defendants "Does 1-10" herein, but are informed and believe that persons and entities in addition to the specifically named defendant herein are legally liable for matters alleged in this Complaint. Plaintiffs will seek leave to amend this Complaint as appropriate to add additional specific defendants upon determining the true names and identities of the "Doe" defendants.

JURISDICTION

- 6. This court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332, as this matter involves a civil action between citizens of different states and an amount in controversy exceeding the sum or value of \$75,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs.
 - 7. Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction in this judicial district as it

1516

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26

27

WIS 28

is engaged in doing business and has its principal place of business located within this judicial district.

8. The parties have agreed to submit to the jurisdiction of the federal courts sitting in the Central District of California relating to matters giving rise to this lawsuit.

VENUE

- 9. Venue is proper in this court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 (a) as the district where Defendant resides and where Defendant is subject to personal jurisdiction at the time this action is commenced.
 - 10. The parties have also agreed to venue in this judicial district.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. On information and belief, Defendant, a publicly-traded company, is in the business of operating online media, community, and e-commerce sites in vertical markets, which consists of groups of similar businesses and customers that engage in trade based on specific and specialized needs, also known as niche markets, which include categories such as automotive, career, health, shopping, traveling, home, money and business.
- 12. On information and belief, Defendant is also in the business of acquiring websites.
- 13. On May 13, 2008, Plaintiffs and Defendant entered into a written Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement ("Contract").
- 14. Pursuant to this Contract, Defendant purchased and acquired from Model Mayhem LLC title to certain assets. Plaintiffs were the holders of all of Model Mayhem LLC's outstanding membership interests at the time of the Contract.
- 15. The assets that were the subject of this Contract comprised the website modelmayhem.com ("Website") and its business, including all of Model Mayhem LLC's rights, title, and interest in all Website-related domain and sub-domain

11 12

13 14

15 16 18.

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

names, URLs, software, content, customer, advertiser, email and member databases, trademarks, service marks, trade names, copyrights, logos, contract rights and all other intellectual property, goodwill, and technology comprising the website and its business (collectively, "Purchased Assets").

- 16. The website modelmayhem.com provided and still provides online networking and electronic bulletin boards featuring information about the modeling industry for users in the field of modeling including businesses connected therewith.
- In exchange for the sale and transfer of the Purchased Assets, and 17. pursuant to the express terms of Sections 1.3 and 1.4 of the Contract, Defendants were and are obligated to pay contingent deferred payments to Plaintiffs. The parties agreed that the amounts of these payments were to be based on the average monthly website page views of modelmayhem.com, and that the number of page views would be calculated based on Google Analytics Website page views tracking reports.
 - Specifically, Section 1.3 (c)(i) of the Contract provides: 2009 Contingent Deferred Payments.
 - Eight Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$800,000) shall be paid Α. if average monthly Website page views for calendar year 2009 are at least 350,000,000 (the "2009 Target Website Page Views"). If the 2009 Target Website Page Views are not achieved, but actual average monthly Website Page Views for calendar year 2009 are at least 225,000,000 (the "2009 Baseline Website Page Views"), then this portion of the 2009 Contingent Deferred Payment shall be equal to toe greater of (x) a fraction of \$800,000, the numerator of which is monthly page views for calendar year 2009 minus 2009 Baseline Website Page Views, and the denominator

of which is 2009 Target Website Page Views minus 2009 Baseline Page Views and (y) Two Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$200,000).

- B. Ten percent (10%) of all Website membership revenues earned and collected in calendar year 2009 in excess of Two Hundred Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$250,000), net of any credits or refunds).
- 19. Section 1.4 (c) of the Contract provides:

The Parties agree that for purposes of determining total Website page views for each Contingent Deferred Period set forth in Section 1.3(c), the Parties shall rely upon Google Analytics Website page views tracking reports. Internet Brands shall continue to consistently deploy Google Analytics on the Website through December 31, 2010.

- 20. Pursuant to Section 1.4 (a) of the Contract, a calculation of the 2009 contingent deferred payment and the payment to Plaintiffs were both due on or before February 15, 2010.
- 21. Defendant has failed to make and refuses to make the 2009 deferred contingent payments to Plaintiffs despite Plaintiffs' multiple requests both before and after February 15, 2010.
- 22. Defendant has misrepresented the calculation as set forth in the Contract and has withheld and continues to withhold the contingent deferred payments owed to Plaintiffs.
- 23. Pursuant to Section 1.4(b) of the Contract, Plaintiffs timely objected to the miscalucations and withholding of monies rightfully theirs.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of Written Contract)

24. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each previous

paragraph as though fully set forth herein.

- 25. Plaintiffs have duly performed all of the terms, covenants, and conditions of the Contract.
- 26. Defendant has refused and continues to refuse to make the 2009 contingent deferred payment pursuant to the Contract.
- 27. Defendant has materially breached the Contract by failing to make the 2009 contingent deferred payment pursuant to the Contract.
- 28. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's material breach, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in an amount in excess of \$496,000.00, in an amount to be proven at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Breach of the Implied Covenant of Good Faith and Fair Dealing)

- 29. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each previous paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- 30. Defendant has unfairly frustrated Plaintiffs' right to receive the benefits of the Contract by unreasonably and/or without proper cause withholding the 2009 contingent deferred payments.
- 31. Defendant repeatedly promised Plaintiffs it would pay the 2009 contingent deferred payments to Plaintiffs, thereby misleading Plaintiffs to believe that payment was forthcoming.
- 32. Defendant provided a progress report to Plaintiffs on November 10, 2009 relying on Google Analytics' definition of "page views" and advising Plaintiffs that for the first ten months of 2009, the modelmayhem.com website averaged "about 290,000,000" page views per month.
- 33. After Plaintiffs hired counsel who demanded compliance with the Contract, Defendant unreasonably and without proper cause unilaterally changed the

method of calculating page views thereby severely and unfairly reducing the number of page views and the amount of money due Plaintiffs.

34. In addition to the intentional miscalculation of page views, Defendant

- 34. In addition to the intentional miscalculation of page views, Defendant has withheld and continues to withhold any payment to Plaintiffs based on Defendant's misrepresentations of fact. Defendant's misrepresentations of fact include but are not limited to falsely claiming ignorance of facts of which Defendant had actual and/or constructive notice for the purpose of fabricating an excuse not to pay Plaintiffs.
- 35. Specifically, Defendant is withholding any amount of contingent deferred payment falsely claiming for the first time on or about March 19, 2010 that when it entered into the Contract on May 13, 2008, it had no knowledge of a criminal investigation involving a modelmayhem.com visitor, and that its ignorance of this fact excuses it from its contractual obligations. Defendant's statements are false and do not constitute any grounds to excuse Defendant from its obligations. Defendant is unreasonably and without proper cause relying on these falsities to frustrate Plaintiffs' right to their contractual benefits.
- 36. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in excess of \$496,000.00, in an amount to be proven at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(Conversion)

- 37. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each previous paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- 38. Pursuant to the terms of the Contract, Defendant was obligated to make the 2009 contingent deferred payment to Plaintiffs on February 15, 2010.
- 39. Defendant has withheld and continues to withhold the money due to Plaintiffs on February 15, 2010, converting such monies and funds to its own use.

- 40. Defendant knowingly and intentionally continues to exercise dominion and control over at least \$496,000 rightfully belonging to Plaintiffs. These monies are the sole property of Plaintiffs, and Defendants are not entitled to retain them.
- 41. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's conversion, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in excess of \$496,000.00, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 42. Pursuant to California *Penal Code* § 496(c), Plaintiffs are entitled to three times the amount of their actual damages plus reasonable attorneys' fees.
- 43. The actions of defendant as described above were done intentionally, fraudulently, and maliciously, knowing that such conduct would damage Plaintiffs and with the intent to take unfair advantage of Plaintiffs and knowing that such conduct would, in fact, damage and harm Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to punitive and exemplary damages pursuant to California *Civil Code* Section 3294 in an amount to be determined at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraud and Deceit)

- 44. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each previous paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. In full consideration of the sale, assignment, and transfer of the Purchased Assets under the Contract, Defendant, through its Chief Executive Officer Robert N. Brisco, agreed to make payments to Plaintiffs, which payments included certain contingent deferred payments to be made on or before February 15, 2010 (the 2009 contingent deferred payment) and on or before February 15, 2011 (the 2010 contingent deferred payment) pursuant to the terms of the Contract.
- 46. In reliance on the representations made by Defendant, Plaintiffs sold and transferred title to the Purchased Assets to Defendant.
- 47. Defendant's representations were false. Defendant failed to make any payment to Plaintiffs on or before February 15, 2010. Defendant has refused and

11

10

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 continues to refuse to make the 2009 contingent deferred payment to Plaintiffs pursuant to the Contract.

- 48. Defendant knew that these representations were false. Defendant made these representations with an intent to defraud Plaintiffs into entering into the Contract, defrauding them into selling and transferring the Purchased Assets to Defendant in exchange for the promised payments.
- 49. At the time Defendant made these representations and promises, Defendant had no intention of performing them. Defendant had no intention of making the contingent deferred payments under the Contract.
- In addition, in its March 19, 2010 calculations, Defendant, through its 50. representative Wendy Giberti, falsely represented in writing that 65% of the website page views of modelymayhem.com from which the 2009 contingent deferred payments were to be calculated were invalid, that Google was not able to identify what was on those pages, and that tens of millions of page views per month were not real page views. Then on March 22, 2010, Defendant, through its representative Wendy Giberti, falsely represented in writing that 75% of the page views identified by Google were not real page views. Based on these representations, Defendant claimed based on its own independent research that it was only able to identify 246,000,000 page views per month.
- Defendant's representations, however, are false. According to the 51. Google Analytics reports provided by Defendant on March 29, 2010 and attached to a sworn declaration from Defendant's representative, modelmayhem.com actually received a monthly average of 290,000,000 page views.
- 52. Defendant knew that these representations regarding website page views were false. Defendant made these representations with an intent to defraud Plaintiffs and induce their reliance on these falsely represented figures in calculating to their detriment the 2009 contingent deferred payment due Plaintiffs.

53.	As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's fraud and deceit,
Plaintiffs h	ave suffered damages in excess of \$496,000.00, in an amount to be
proven at tr	ial.

54. The actions of Defendant as described above were done intentionally, fraudulently, and maliciously, knowing that such conduct would damage Plaintiffs and with the intent to take unfair advantage of plaintiffs and knowing that such conduct would, in fact, damage and harm Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to punitive and exemplary damages pursuant to California *Civil Code* Section 3294 in an amount to be determined at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Fraudulent Inducement)

- 55. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each previous paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- 56. In full consideration of the sale, assignment, and transfer of the Purchased Assets under the Contract, Defendant, through its Chief Executive Officer, Robert N. Brisco, agreed to make payments to Plaintiffs, which payments included certain contingent deferred payments pursuant to the terms of the Contract due to Plaintiffs on or before February 15, 2010.
- 57. In reliance on the representations made by Defendant, Plaintiffs sold and transferred title to the Purchased Assets to Defendant.
- 58. Defendant's representations were in fact false. Defendant has refused and continues to refuse to make the 2009 contingent deferred payment to Plaintiffs pursuant to the terms of the Contract.
- 59. Defendant knew that these representations were false. Defendant made these representations with an intent to induce Plaintiffs into entering into the Contract, inducing them into selling and transferring the Purchased Assets to Defendant in exchange for the promised payments.

- 60. At the time Defendant made these representations and promises, Defendant had no intention of performing them. Defendant had no intention of making the contingent deferred payment pursuant to the Contract.
- 61. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's fraud and deceit, Plaintiffs have suffered damages in excess of \$496,000.00, in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 62. The actions of Defendant as described above were done intentionally, fraudulently, and maliciously, knowing that such conduct would damage Plaintiffs and with the intent to take unfair advantage of Plaintiffs and knowing that such conduct would, in fact, damage and harm Plaintiffs. As a result, in addition, Plaintiffs are entitled to punitive and exemplary damages pursuant to California *Civil Code* Section 3294 in an amount to be determined at trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(Accounting)

- 63. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference each previous paragraph as though fully set forth herein.
- 64. Plaintiffs have demanded from Defendant the 2009 contingent deferred payment based on calculations as specifically provided in Sections 1.3 and 1.4 of the Contract.
- 65. Defendant has refused and continues to refuse to make the 2009 contingent deferred payment to Plaintiffs pursuant to the terms of the Contract.
- 66. Plaintiffs request an accounting of the 2009 contingent deferred payment owed by Defendant pursuant to the terms of the Contract, including all accrued interests.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against defendant, as follows:

1. For damages according to proof;

1	2.	For compensatory damages according to proof;			
2	3.	For treble damages and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to			
3		California Penal Code § 496(c);			
4	4.	For punitive and exemplary damages pursuant to California Civil Code			
5		Section 3294;			
6	5.	For constructive trust over all properties, funds, and/or monies			
7	rightfully	belonging to Plaintiffs which were converted or taken unlawfully by			
8	Defendant				
9	6.	For an accounting to determine the exact amount and value of payment			
10	owed to P	laintiffs pursuant to the Contract which have accrued to date, plus interest;			
11	7.	For specific performance;			
12	8.	For reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of suit;			
13	9.	For an award of interest including prejudgment interest; and			
14	10.	For any and all further relief the Court may deem just and proper.			
15					
16	D'ATERD	1.21.201			
17	DATED: A	April 21, 2010 Respectfully submitted,			
18		PAULA C. GREENSPAN LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP			
19					
20		By: Of Granden			
21	•	Paula C. Greenspan Attorneys for Plaintiffs Donald F. Waitt and Tyler A. Waitt			
22		and Tyler A. Walli			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

12 COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

. 1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL					
2 3	DI : CCC DONALD D WILLIAM AND A STATE OF THE					
	Plaintiffs DONALD F. WAITT and TYLER A. WAITT hereby demand a					
4	trial by jury on all issues so triable in this action.					
5	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
6	DATED: April 21, 2010 Respectfully submitted,					
7	PAULA C. GREENSPAN LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP					
8						
9	By\					
10	Paula C. Greenspan Attorneys for Plaintiffs Donald F. Waitt and Tyler A. Waitt					
11	and Tyler A. Waitt					
12	·					
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26						
27						
28						

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

4839-7444-7366.1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District J	Judge George King and the assigned discovery
Magistrate Judge is Fernando M. Olguin.	

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV10- 3006 GHK (FMOx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

ſΧ	Western Division [] Southern Division [] Eastern Division
Sι	bsequent documents must be filed at the following location:
	copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is ed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).
	NOTICE TO COUNSEL
	=
	All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge
	motions.
	un ati a ma

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053

Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134

Riverside, CA 92501

312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Name & Address: PAULA C. GREENSPAN, SB# 166332 LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD& SMITH LLP 221 N. Figueroa Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles, CA 90012 (213) 250-1800

Los Ang (213) 25	eles, CA 90012 0-1800			
	UNITED STATES CENTRAL DISTRIC			
	D F. WAITT, an individual, and TYLER A. an individual,	CASE NUMBER		٠
	PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV10	3006	GHK FMOX
INTERNET BRANDS, INC., a Delaware corporation, and DOES 1 thorough 10 inclusive,		SUMMONS		
	DEFENDANT(S).			
wmust serv □ counte or motion 221 N. Fi judgment	lawsuit has been filed against you. Tithin 21 days after service of this summon the on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of relaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 1 a must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, igueroa St., Suite 1200, Los Angeles, CA 9001 by default will be entered against you for the ever or motion with the court.	complaint \(\sigma\) 2 of the Federal Paula C. Green 2	amer Rules of Civil Pro Ispan, Esq.	nded complaint cedure. The answer , whose address is . If you fail to do so,
		Clerk, U.S. I	District Court	
Dated	APR 2 2 2010	Ву:	CHRISTOPHER I	POWERS
		<i>2</i> ,	Deputy Clerk	
			(Seal of the Court)	
-	ys if the defendant is the United States or a United State Rule 12(a)(3)].	s agency, or is an o <u>f</u>	ficer or employee of t	he United States. Allowed

CV-01A (12/07) SUMMONS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

	0.7.20 0.7.20 0.7.20					
I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box	if you are representing yourself	1)	DEFENDANTS			
DONALD F. WAITT and	TYLER F. WAITT		INTERNET BRANDS, INC	., a Delaware corporation	•	
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Adyourself, provide same.)	dress and Telephone Number. If ye	ou are representing	Attorneys (If Known)			
LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGA	AARD & SMITH LLP					
221 North Figueroa Street,			• '			
	0012; Tel. No. (213) 250-1800					
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION	N (Place an X in one box only.)		NSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PART X in one box for plaintiff and or		Only	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S.		PTF	DEF	PTF DEF	
1 U.S. Government Financia	Government Not a Party)	Citizen of Thi		Incorporated or Pr of Business in this	incipal Place 🗆 4 👿 4	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citize of Parties in Item III)	nship Citizen of And	other State 💆 2	☐ 2 Incorporated and I of Business in An	Principal Place 5 5 5 other State	
		Citizen or Sub	ject of a Foreign Country 3	☐ 3 Foreign Nation	□6 □6	
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one	e box only.)					
V 1 Original □ 2 Remove Proceeding State Co		☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ Reopened	15 Transferred from another dis	rict (specify): 🛮 6 Multi Distri Litigs	ct Judge from	
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL.	AINT: JURY DEMAND: V	es □ No (Check 'Y	es' only if demanded in complain	nt.)	-	
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.	.P. 23: □ Yes 1 No		MONEY DEMANDED IN C	OMPLAINT: \$ Over \$4	96,000.00	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite	e the U.S. Civil Statute under which of Implied Covenant of Good Fai				atutes unless diversity.) [28 U.S.C 1332]	
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Plac		m and Pair Dealing, i	ladd, Flaudheir Bribeement, C	onversion, Accounting	[28 0.3,0 1332]	
	A SUBJECT OF THE SUBJ	Sera Old Esta	See Edward HINES			
☐ 400 State Reapportionment	☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSONAL INJU ☐ 310 Airplane	PERSONAL PROPERTY	☐ 510 Motions to	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act	
☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking	☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 315 Airplane Prod	luct 370 Other Fraud	Vacate Sentence	☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt.	
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	371 Truth in Lending	Habeas Corpus	Relations	
Rates/etc.	☐ 150 Recovery of	☐ 320 Assault, Libe	& □ 380 Other Personal	□ 530 General	☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt,	
☐ 460 Deportation	Overpayment &	Slander	Property Damage	☐ 535 Death Penalty	Reporting &	
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of	330 Fed. Employe	1 303 Troperty Damage		Disclosure Act	
and Corrupt	Judgment	Liability ☐ 340 Marine	Product Liability	Other	740 Railway Labor Act	
Organizations	☐ 151 Medicare Act	☐ 345 Marine Produ	ct BANKRUHCY	550 Civil Rights	□ 790 Other Labor	
480 Consumer Credit	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	158 422 Appeal 28 USC	☐ 555 Prison Condition	Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 350 Motor Vehicl	e [7 423 Withdrawal 28	996 018 30	Security Act	
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/		☐ 355 Motor Vehicl	1190 157	☐ 610 Agriculture	SERIOPOLICO POS	
Exchange	Overpayment of	Product Liabi ☐ 360 Other Person	III DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF	☐ 620 Other Food &	□ 820 Copyrights	
☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12	Veteran's Benefits	Injury	□ 441 Voting	Drug	□ 830 Patent	
USC 3410	☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 362 Personal Inju	ry- 442 Employment	☐ 625 Drug Related	□ 840 Trademark	
	190 Other Contract	Med Malprac	1	Seizure of	□ 861 HIA (1395ff)	
☐ 891 Agricultural Act ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization	☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 365 Personal Inju Product Liab		881	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	
Act	☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 368 Asbestos Per	·····	☐ 630 Liquor Laws	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW	
☐ 893 Environmental Matters	FOR THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	Injury Produc		□ 640 R.R. & Truck	(405(g))	
☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	☐ 210 Land Condemnation	Liability	Employment	☐ 650 Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID Title XVI	
□ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	□ 220 Foreclosure	DAMINE STATE	☐ 446 American with	☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	
☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 462 Naturalizatio	n Disabilities -	Safety /Health		
nation Under Equal	□ 240 Torts to Land	Application ☐ 463 Habeas Corp	Other	□ 690 Other	□ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	
Access to Justice	245 Tort Product Liability	Alien Detain	_ , +		or Defendant) □ 871 IRS-Third Party 26	
☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	☐ 290 All Other Real Property	465 Other Immig			USC 7609	
				<u> </u>		
			Ø\$ - ■		o /	
			1.1	10 300	16	
TOD OFFICE HER ONLY.	Casa Number		₩		✓ √	

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

CV-71 (05/08)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: _

Case 2:10-cv-03006-GHK-JCG Document 1 Filed 04/22/10 Page 17 of 17

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTIC If yes, list case num	AL CASES: Has th	is action been previ	iously filed in this court ar	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ♥No □Yes	
VIII(b). RELATE If yes, list case num	D CASES: Have an	ny cases been previo	ously filed in this court the	at are related to the present case? MNO 🗆 Yes	
Civil cases are deer (Check all boxes that	at apply) □ A. Ar □ B. Ca □ C. Fo	ise from the same on the for determination to other reasons wou	n of the same or substantial duplication	ons, happenings, or events; or Ily related or similar questions of law and fact; or cation of labor if heard by different judges; or t, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.	
			n, use an additional sheet		
(a) List the Count☐ Check here if the count	y in this District; Ca the government, its a	lifornia County out gencies or employe	tside of this District; State ees is a named plaintiff. I	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. f this box is checked, go to item (b).	
County in this Dist	trict:*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
				FLORIDA (both Plaintiffs)	
(b) List the Count ☐ Check here if	ty in this District; Ca	lifornia County out	tside of this District; State	if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).	
County in this Dis		8		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
LOS ANGELES					
Note: In land	condemnation cas	alifornia County ou es, use the location	ntside of this District; State n of the tract of land inve	c if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose. olved. California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country	
County in this Dis			<u></u>	California County outside of this District, State, it other than California, or Poleigit Country	
LOS ANGELES	3				
* Los Angeles, Or	ange, San Bernard	ino, Riverside, Ve	entura, Santa Barbara, o tract of land involved	r San Luis Ohispo Counties	
	OF ATTORNEY (C		tract of land imported	Date U - 21-10	
Notice to Co	unsel/Parties: The	CV-71 (JS-44) Cir	ed by the Judicial Confere	formation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings not of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed liating the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)	
Key to Statistical	codes relating to Soc	ial Security Cases:			
Nat	ture of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statemen	t of Cause of Action	
861		НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))		
862	2	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)		
863	3	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
863	3	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))		
. 864	4	SSID	All claims for supplem Act, as amended.	ental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security	
865	5	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))		

CIVIL COVER SHEET

CV-71 (05/08)

Page 2 of 2